9.5 Composition of PN: Protein and Amino Acids

Question: Do higher or lower IV amino acid doses result in improved clinical outcomes in the critically ill adult patient?

Summary of evidence: This is a new topic in 2018. There is one level 1 (Ferrie 2016) and 1 level 2 (Doig 2015) study included in this topic. Ferrie et al studied a higher amino acid concentration of PN aimed to provide 1.2 g/kg/d protein vs a lower amino acid concentration of PN aimed to provide 0.8 g/kg/d protein. Nutrition provision was intended to be non-isonitrogenous, isocaloric (25 kcal/kg/d). Doig et al studied standard nutrition care plus IV amino acids with a max dose of 2 g/kg/d protein vs standard nutrition care. Nutrition provision was intended to be non-isonitrogenous, non-isocaloric.

Mortality: When the two trials were aggregated, a higher protein dose had no effect on ICU mortality (RR 0.99, 95% CI 0.60, 1.62, p=0.96, heterogeneity I²=0%; figure 1) or hospital mortality (RR 0.92, 95% CI 0.59, 1.43, p=0.70, heterogeneity I²=2%; figure 2).

Infections: No data available.

LOS: Though both studies reported on ICU and hospital LOS, data was not reported in mean and standard deviation and, therefore, could not be meta-analyzed. Ferrie et al found a trend towards a reduced ICU LOS in the higher amino acid group (p=0.16) but there was no effect on hospital LOS (p=0.41). Doig et al found no effect on ICU or hospital LOS (p=0.26 and 0.49, respectively).

Ventilator Days: Though both studies reported on ventilation duration, data was not reported in mean and standard deviation and, therefore, could not be meta-analyzed. Both Ferrie et al and Doig et al found no effect on ventilation duration (p=0.22 and 0.84, respectively).

Other: Ferrie et al measured hand grip strength at study day 7 and at ICU discharge. They found a significant difference favouring the higher amino acid group at study day 7 (p=0.025) and a trend towards improvement in the higher amino acid group at ICU discharge (p=0.054). They also measured muscle area and thickness using ultrasound on day 7 and found a significantly greater forearm muscle thickness (p<0.0001) and thigh muscle area (p=0.02) in the higher amino acid group, but there was no difference in bicep muscle thickness (p=0.21). The sum of the 3 muscle sites on ultrasound at day 7 was significantly greater in the higher amino acid group (p=0.02). Doig et al conducted quality of life (QOL) questionnaires and found no difference between groups on the RAND-36 General Health questionnaire and the ECOG Performance Status questionnaire (p=0.41 and 0.21, respectively). They observed a trend towards improvement in the higher amino acid group (p=0.11) on the RAND-36 Physical Function questionnaire.

Critical Care Nutrition: Systematic Reviews December 2018

Conclusions:

1) A higher vs lower IV amino acid dose has no effect on ICU and hospital mortality, ICU and hospital LOS and mechanical ventilation duration in critically ill patients.

2) A higher vs lower IV amino acid dose may be associated with improved muscle mass, strength and functional performance.

Level 1 study: if all of the following are fulfilled: concealed randomization, blinded outcome adjudication and an intention to treat analysis. Level 2 study: If any one of the above characteristics are unfulfilled.

Study	Population	Methods (score)	Intervention	Mortality Additional protein	y # (%) Control	Infections # (%) Additional protein Control		Mechanica Additional protei	I Ventilation
1) Doig 2015	Mixed ICU patients with an expected LOS of at least 2 days. Multi centre. N=474	C. Random: yes ITT: no Blinded: no (7)	100 g/L L-amino acids (Synthamin 17 electrolyte free, max 100 g/d from supplement) + standard nutrition care (max 2 g/kg/d protein from all sources combined) vs standard nutrition care	ICU 28/239 (11.7) Hospital 37/239 (15.5) 90 day 42/236 (17.8)	ICU 30/235 (12.8) Hospital 43/235 (18.3) 90 day 47/235 (20)	NR	NR	7.33 (7-7.68)	7.26 (6.94-7.61)
2) Ferrie 2016	ICU patients requiring PN. Single centre. N=120	C. Random: yes ITT: yes Blinded: double (12)	Olimel N9 (57 g amino acids/L), goal protein 1.2 g/kg/d vs Oli-Clinomel N7 (40 g amino acids/L), goal protein 0.8 g/kg/d. Both groups aimed for 25 kcal/kg/d.	ICU 8/59 (14) Hospital 12/60 (20) 6 Month 15/60 (25)	ICU 6/60 (10) Hospital 9/60 (15) 6 Month 9/60 (15)	NR	NR	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-5.0)

Table 1. Randomized Studies Evaluating Higher Protein/Amino Acids vs. Low Protein/Amino Acids in Critically ill Patients receiving PN

Table 1. Randomized Studies Evaluating Higher Protein/Amino Acids vs. Low Protein/Amino Acids in Critically ill Patients receiving PN (continued)

Study	LOS		Nutritional outcomes		QOL Ou	Itcomes	Physical Outcomes	
	Additional protein	Control	Additional protein	Control	Additional protein	Control	Additional protein	Control
1) Doig 2015	ICU 11.6 (10.8-12.5) 10 Hospital 26 (24.2-28) 24.4).7 (10-11.5) 8 (23-26.6)	Intervention group received "significantly more protein" during first 7 days. Requiring RRT at day 90 0/191 1/183		RAND-36 Ge 50.5 <u>+</u> 27.2 (n=192) ECOG Perfori 1.31 <u>+</u> 1 (n=192) RAND-36 phy 47.4 <u>+</u> 33.7 (n=192)	neral Health 52.8 <u>+</u> 25.9 (n=180) nance Status 1.18 <u>+</u> 1 (n=181) sical function 53.2 <u>+</u> 33 (n=180)	NR	

www.criticalcarenutrition.com

Critical Care Nutrition: Systematic Reviews December 2018

2) Ferrie 2016	ICU 5.0 (3.0-8.0) 6.0 (3.8-10.0) Hospital 25.0 (16.8-41.3) 27.5 (18.8-55.8)	Protein g/kg/d, mean first 7 days 1.09 ± 0.22 0.9 ± 0.21 Kcal/kg/d, mean first 7 days 23.2 ± 3.0 24.9 ± 4.2 Dialysis days, median (Q1-Q3) $7.0 (2.0-8.8)$ $6.0 (5.4-7.0)$	NR	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Hand grip strength at day 7, kg} \\ 22.1 \pm 10.1 & 18.5 \pm 11.8, p=0.025 \\ \mbox{Hand grip strength at ICU d/c, kg} \\ 18.5 \pm 10.4 & 15.8 \pm 10.3, p=0.054 \\ \mbox{Forearm muscle thickness on ultrasound, cm, } \\ \mbox{day 7} \\ 3.2 \pm 0.4 & 2.8 \pm 0.4, p<0.0001 \\ \mbox{Bicep muscle thickness on ultrasound, cm, } \\ \mbox{day 7} \\ 2.5 \pm 0.6 & 2.4 \pm 0.4, p=0.21 \\ \mbox{Thigh muscle area on ultrasound, cm, day 7} \\ 6.8 \pm 2.1 & 5.8 \pm 1.9, p=0.02 \\ \mbox{Sum of 3 muscle sites on ultrasound, cm, day } \\ \mbox{Hammal result} \\$
				8.4 <u>+</u> 1.0 7.9 <u>+</u> 1.1, p=0.02

Figure 1. ICU Mortality

	Higher Protein/Amin	o Acids	Low Protein/Amino	o Acids		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% CI	
Doig 2015	28	239	30	235	80.7%	0.91 [0.52, 1.57]		
Ferrie 2016	8	59	6	60	19.3%	1.41 [0.46, 4.35]	_	
Total (95% CI)		298		295	100.0%	0.99 [0.60, 1.62]	•	
Total events	36		36					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	9); I² = 0%					4		
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.05 (P = 0.96)							Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	J

Figure 2. Hospital Mortality

	Higher Protein/Amin	o Acids	Low Protein/A	mino Acids		Odds Ratio		Odds Ratio		
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	I	M-H, Random, 95%	6 CI	
Doig 2015	37	239	43	235	78.9%	0.82 [0.51, 1.32]				
Ferrie 2016	12	60	9	60	21.1%	1.42 [0.55, 3.66]			-	
Total (95% CI)		299		295	100.0%	0.92 [0.59, 1.43]		•		
Total events	49		52							
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00; Chi ² = 1.02, df = 1 (P = 0.31); l ² = 2%									10	100
Test for overall effect: Z = 0.38 (P = 0.70)							Favours [expe	erimental] Favou	rs [control]	100

Table 2. Excluded Articles

#	Reason excluded	Citation
1	Not critically ill pts	Liebau F, Sundström M, van Loon LJ, Wernerman J, Rooyackers O. Short-term amino acid infusion improves protein balance in critically ill
		patients. Critical Care. 2015;19(1):106. doi:10.1186/s13054-015-0844-6.